

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,870	09/22/2003	Francesco Coppola	TI-34641	1168
23494	7590 09/29/2006	EXAMINER		INER
	STRUMENTS INCOR	CHANG, JOSEPH		
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, 7	55474, M/S 3999 ΓΧ 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Annlinanda	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion O		10/667,870	COPPOLA ET AL.	
Office .	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph Chang	2817	
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER IS I  - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If NO period for reply i  - Failure to reply within to the company of the com	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Day be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. s specified above, the maximum statutory period to the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ This action 3)⊡ Since this a	to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Julies</u> is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This pplication is in condition for alloward cordance with the practice under Expenses.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claim	S			
4a) Of the a 5)	12 is/are pending in the application. bove claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. 12 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
_	otion is objected to but the Francis	_		
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on is/are: a) acc y not request that any objection to the t drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Jansson for reasons of record.

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant comments directed to the rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Jansson, Applicant argues "the Nelson reference does not provide any teaching of testing at the initial control word to determine whether it should be used to generate the first clock signal at the new desired frequency, or if the initial control word should be changed to an adjacent control word to generate the first clock signal to generate the first clock signal at the new desired frequency. On the contrary, Nelson explicitly states in paragraph [0024] that:

By purposefully selecting VCO center frequency control signals, L, state machine 32 may identify the two VCO operating curves that have center frequencies just above and just below the frequency of the input signal R. Either one of these two operating curves may be selected for use during normal PLL operations.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,870

Art Unit: 2817

Accordingly, no testing is done after the initial search, and there is no determination made as to whether a control word adjacent to the initial control word would be better for generating the first clock signal at the new desired frequency."

These arguments are not persuasive because the paragraph [0024] further states, "state machine 32 performs a linear search algorithm in which the values of the center frequency control value L are selected .... In an alternative embodiment of the invention, during step 58, a binary search algorithm is performed" For clarification, the calibration is described in the supporting document US Pat. No. 5,942,949 as stated in paragraph [0020]. As described in Column 3, line 55 - Column 4, line 59 of US Pat. No. 5,942,949, state machine 316 performs a linear search algorithm or a binary search algorithm and further states that "each digital control input value N needs to be maintained to test each of the VCO operating curves in the search sequence".

As for the argument regarding claim 3 "containing no teaching of testing at the endpoints of the curves, or anywhere else on the curves", it is noted that the "Max and Min" in paragraph [0018] refer to endpoints of the curves. For clarification, the supporting document US Pat. No. 5,942,949 described in Column 4, lines 3-12 the calibration for Max and Min as a result of driving VIf to the positive and negative supply rails, i.e. VDD and Ground.

As for the argument regarding claim 4 "There is no teaching that SW1 is used during a test mode to determine whether the control word providing the preferred operating curves", it is noted that the scope of the claim has no distinction as to test mode or normal mode.

As for the argument regarding claim 5 "This paragraph ([0018]) has no such teaching and, in fact, comes before calibrating the VCO is even discussed in Nelson", it is not persuasive because whether the paragraph comes before calibrating the VCO, Nelson discloses the initial control word (L) is within a predetermined threshold (Max and Min).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,870

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOSEPH CHANG

Page 5